



PTO/SB/17 (12-04v2)
Approved for use through 7/31/2006. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no person are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

FEE TRANSMITTAL For FY 2005		Complete if Known	
Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818). Effective on 12/08/2004.		Application Number	09/869,554
<input type="checkbox"/> Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27		Filing Date	December 23, 1999
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT		First Named Inventor	Anna Orlefors
(\$)	120.00	Examiner Name	S. A. Sakelaris
		Art Unit	1634
		Attorney Docket No.	HO-P02216US0

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Check	<input type="checkbox"/> Credit Card
<input type="checkbox"/> Money Order	<input type="checkbox"/> None
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please identify): _____	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deposit Account	Deposit Account Number: 06-2375
	Deposit Account Name: Fulbright & Jaworski L.L.P.
For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Charge fee(s) indicated below	<input type="checkbox"/> Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayment of fee(s) under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Credit any overpayments

FEE CALCULATION							
1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH, AND EXAMINATION FEES							
	FILING FEES		SEARCH FEES		EXAMINATION FEES		
		Small Entity		Small Entity		Small Entity	
Application Type	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fees Paid (\$)
Utility	300	150	500	250	200	100	
Design	200	100	100	50	130	65	
Plant	200	100	300	150	160	80	
Reissue	300	150	500	250	600	300	
Provisional	200	100	0	0	0	0	
2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES							
						Small Entity	
						Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)
Each claim over 20 (including Reissues)						50	25
Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues)						200	100
Multiple dependent claims						360	180
Total Claims		Extra Claims	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)	Multiple Dependent Claims		
_____		x _____	= _____		Fee (\$)		Fee Paid (\$)
Indep. Claims		Extra Claims	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)			
_____		x _____	= _____				
3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE							
If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s).							
Total Sheets	Extra Sheets	Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof		Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)		
_____	- 100 = _____	/50 _____ (round up to a whole number) x _____		= _____			
4. OTHER FEE(S)							
						Fees Paid (\$)	
Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)							
Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): 1251 Extension for response within first month						120.00	

SUBMITTED BY			
Signature		Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	45,872
Name (Print/Type)	Melissa W. Acosta	Telephone	(713) 651-5407
		Date	February 28, 2005

Fee Transmittal	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as Express Mail, Airbill No. ER540910493US, in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date shown below.	
Dated: February 28, 2005	Signature: (Staci Harris)

03-01-05 1634 #
SW

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as Express Mail, Airbill No. ER540910493US, in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date shown below.

Dated: February 28, 2005 Signature: *Staci Harris*

(Staci Harris)

Docket No.: HO-P02216US0
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Anna Orlefors et al.

Application No.: 09/869,554

Art Unit: 1634

Filed: December 23, 1999

Examiner: S. A. Sakelaris

For: METHOD FOR SEQUENCING DNA USING A
MICROFLUIDIC DEVICE

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO A NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

MS Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

In response to the Office Action dated October 29, 2004, please amend the above-identified U.S. patent application as follows:

Interview Summary begins on page 2 of this paper;

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 3 of this paper; and

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 16 of this paper.

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

An in person interview was conducted with the Examiner Sally Sakelaris on February 16, 2005. Applicants in attendance included an inventor, Per Andersson, a representative for the Assignee, Hakan Bergander, and two representatives for the Applicants, David Fox and Melissa Acosta.

Applicants first stated that the record should indicate that Mian et al. teaches the Sanger method of sequencing. Applicants also discussed possible amendments to distinguish the present invention in view of the prior art of record, for example a common application area which is supported by the specification page 2, lines 25-27, page 13, lines 1-2, and Figure 4a-4d, see item 1. Another items discussed was more than one reaction area per microchannel structure, which is not taught by either Ronahgi et al. and Mian et al.